- Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G., Spagna, R. & Viterbo, D. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 389–393.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

Follet-Houttemane, C., Boivin, J. C., Bonte, J. P. & Lesieur, D. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 882-884.

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mairesse, G., Boivin, J. C., Thomas, D. J., Bermann, M. C., Bonte, J. P. & Lesieur, D. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1019–1020.
- Motherwell, W. D. S. & Clegg, W. (1978). PLUTO. Program for Plotting Molecular and Crystal Structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Stewart, R. F., Davidson, E. R. & Simpson, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175-3187.
- Taverne, T. (1995). PhD thesis, Univ. of Lille II, France.
- Yous, S., Poupaert, J. H., Lesieur, I., Depreux, P. & Lesieur, D. (1994). J. Org. Chem. 59, 1574–1576.

& Baurs, 1994). For example, pyrrolidone derivatives are used in the treatment of arteriosclerosis (Diaz, Monreal & Lucas, 1990). These molecules may act as potent neuroexitatory agents (Woo & Mullins, 1991) or neurotropic drugs (Toja, Gorini, Zirotti, Barzaghi & Galliani, 1987). Stereocontrol in the synthesis of these compounds is very important.

Our studies have demonstrated that stereocontrol can be achieved by the reaction of optically active furfurylamines with maleic anhydride. The mechanism of the reaction has been investigated previously with optically inactive compounds and proceeds by an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction (Brun, Zylber, Pèpe & Reboul, 1994; Pèpe, Reboul, Brun & Zylber, 1995). With furfuryl N-1-phenylethylamine (S), the title compound, (I), is obtained as two diastereomeric adducts in a 65/35 ratio. These can be isolated in their pure forms. The cleavage of the oxa bridge of such systems leads to various functionalized disubstituted pyrrolidones with absolute control of the configuration of the different asymmetric centres (Ager & East, 1993).



The molecular configuration observed in the crystal studied here confirms the stereocontrol of the synthesis. The interatomic distances in the title compound have standard values and the only intermolecular contacts found are of van der Waals nature.



Fig. 1. An ORTEPII drawing (Johnson, 1976) of the title compound with displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level for non-H atoms. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1915–1917

Methyl 4-Oxo-*N*-(1-phenylethyl)-10-oxa-3-azatricyclo[5.2.1.0^{1,5}]dec-8-ene-6-carboxylate

Gérard Pèpe

CRMC2-CNRS, Campus de Luminy, Case 913, F13288 Marseille CEDEX 9, France

JEAN ZYLBER, ARLETTE TUBUL AND PIERRE BRUN

GCOBO URA 1320, Case 901, Faculté des Sciences de Luminy, F13288 Marseille CEDEX 9, France

(Received 13 December 1994; accepted 17 February 1995)

Abstract

The title compound, $C_{18}H_{19}NO_4$, is a precursor for numerous pharmacologically active compounds, the stereocontrol of the synthesis of which is of great importance.

Comment

The pyrrolidone ring is found in numerous natural products and a variety of pharmacologically active compounds (Marson, Grabowska, Walsgrove, Eggleston

C₁₈H₁₉NO₄

018

C19

C20

021

O22

C23

0.0268 (3)

-0.0533 (3)

-0.2462(4)

-0.3284(3)

-0.3174 (3)

-0.5017 (5)

Experimental

The crystal used for the X-ray structure analysis was grown from a CH_2Cl_2/Et_2O solution. The density D_m was measured by flotation.

Crystal data	Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)				
		C1—C2	1.391 (7)	C11—O18	1.454 (7)
$C_{18}H_{19}NO_{4}$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation	C1—C6	1.445 (5)	C12-C13	1.576(7)
$M_r = 313.35$	$\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ A}$	C2—C3	1.326 (7)	C12-C19	1.541 (7)
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25	C3—C4	1.432 (9)	C13N9	1.393 (6)
P21	reflections	C4—C5	1.390 (8)	C13-014	1.185 (6)
a = 8 112 (2) Å	$A = 75, 225^{\circ}$	C5—C6	1.362 (7)	C15—C16	1.321 (9)
u = 0.112(2) R	v = 7.5 = 22.5	C6C7	1.537 (7)	C16C17	1.485 (9)
D = 10.142(2) Å	$\mu = 0.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$	C7—C8	1.594 (8)	C17—O18	1.501 (7)
c = 10.033 (2) A	T = 293 K	C7N9	1.477 (6)	C17—C19	1.514 (8)
$\beta = 74.49(3)^{\circ}$	Square prism	C10—N9	1.458 (7)	C19C20	1.512 (7)
$V = 795 3(2) Å^3$	$0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$	C10-C11	1.510 (8)	C20—O22	1.308 (7)
7 = 755.5 (2) R		C11-C12	1.520 (8)	C20—O21	1.197 (7)
L = 2	Colouriess	CII-CIS	1.550 (8)	C23—O22	1.458 (9)
$D_x = 1.31 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		C1—C2—C3	120.4 (14)	C11-C12-C13	103.5 (10)
$D_m = 1.30 (2) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$		C2-C3-C4	122.5 (15)	C11-C12-C19	102.5 (10)
		C3-C4-C5	116.6 (13)	C13-C12-C19	118.0 (10)
Data collection		C4C5C6	122.8 (12)	C12-C13-N9	103.2 (09)
Dala collection		C1C6C5	118.2 (10)	C12-C13-014	127.7 (11)
Nonius CAD-4 diffractom-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.025$	C1C6C7	116.4 (9)	N9C13O14	128.9 (11)
eter	$\theta_{\rm max} = 22.5^{\circ}$	C5-C6-C7	125.4 (10)	C11-C15-C16	101.9 (13)
Ascans	$h = -0 \longrightarrow 0$	C6—C7—C8	111.6 (10)	C15-C16-C17	111.1 (14)
Absorption correction:	$k = 0$ \downarrow 11	C6—C7—N9	108.9 (9)	C16-C17-O18	99.1 (11)
Absorption confection:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 11$	C8—C7—N9	107.1 (10)	C16—C17—C19	108.5 (12)
none	$l = 0 \rightarrow 11$	C10—N9—C7	126.4 (10)	C19C17O18	99.1 (10)
1920 measured reflections	4 standard reflections	C10-N9-C13	117.8 (10)	C11—018—C17	95.1 (10)
1816 independent reflections	frequency: 60 min	C13—N9—C7	115.9 (9)	C12-C19C17	101.7 (10)
1720 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: none	C11—C10—N9	101.1 (10)	C12-C19-C20	113.9 (10)
		C10-C11-C12	107.6 (11)	C17—C19—C20	113.3 (10)
		C10—C11—C15	126.2 (11)	C19—C20—O21	123.8 (12)
		C10-C11-018	110.0 (10)	C19—C20—O22	113.8 (11)
Refinement		C12—C11—C15	108.1 (11)	021—C20—022	122.3 (13)
Deferences on E	$\langle \mathbf{A} \rangle \rightarrow 0.12$		100.7 (10)	C20—O22—C23	117.4 (12)
Remiement on r	$(\Delta / \sigma)_{max} = 0.15$	CI3-CII-018	101.2(10)		

1920 meas 1816 indep

$[I > 2\sigma]$ Refinemen Refinement on F R = 0.0362wR = 0.0362S = 0.521720 reflections 264 parameters H-atom coordinates refined from calculated positions; $U_{\rm iso}$ fixed at 0.05 Å²

Unit weights applied

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.13$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B)

Data collection: CAD-4 diffractometer software (Enraf-Nonius, 1977). Cell refinement: CAD-4 diffractometer software. Data reduction: local program. Program(s) used to solve structure: MULTAN (Main et al., 1980). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

0.7546 (2)

0.8966 (3)

0.9272 (3)

0.8968 (3)

0.9952 (2)

1.0186 (7)

3.56 (9)

2.57 (10)

3.47 (13)

5.43 (12)

5.02 (11)

8.2 (3)

0.6099 (5)

0.7893 (5)

0.7910 (6)

0.7035 (5)

0.8955 (5)

0.9106 (8)

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms, and bond distances involving H atoms have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: PA1167). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²) $B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_i \beta_{ii} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_i.$

	x	у	z	B_{eq}
C1	-0.2841 (4)	0.7040	0.3080 (3)	5.44 (18)
C2	-0.4116 (4)	0.6117 (7)	0.3099 (4)	5.8 (2)
C3	-0.4582 (5)	0.5263 (7)	0.4133 (5)	6.5 (2)
C4	-0.3792 (4)	0.5220 (6)	0.5249 (4)	4.60 (16)
C5	-0.2493 (3)	0.6127 (6)	0.5204 (3)	2.90 (11)
C6	-0.1977 (3)	0.7024 (6)	0.4166 (2)	3.26 (12)
C7	-0.0584 (4)	0.8074 (6)	0.4074 (2)	3.79 (17)
C8	0.0966 (5)	0.7886 (7)	0.2724 (3)	5.20 (19)
N9	0.0121 (3)	0.7934 (5)	0.5280 (2)	2.59 (9)
C10	0.1602 (4)	0.7147 (6)	0.5344 (3)	3.47 (12)
C11	0.1426 (4)	0.7143 (6)	0.6881 (3)	5.11 (14)
C12	0.0396 (4)	0.8355 (6)	0.7493 (3)	4.38 (13)
C13	-0.0716 (4)	0.8643 (5)	0.6456 (2)	4.58 (14)
014	-0.1897 (2)	0.9370 (5)	0.6614 (2)	2.90 (07)
C15	0.2899 (5)	0.6978 (6)	0.7584 (4)	4.99 (15)
C16	0.2060 (6)	0.6641 (6)	0.8856 (4)	5.84 (19)
C17	0.0197 (5)	0.6522 (6)	0.8994 (4)	4.73 (15)

References

- Ager, D. J. & East, M. B. (1993). Tetrahedron, 49, 5683-5765.
- Brun, P., Zylber, J., Pèpe, G. & Reboul, J. P. (1994). Heterocycl. Commun. 1, 13-16
- Diaz, R. S., Monreal, J. & Lucas, M. (1990). J. Neurochem. 55, 134-138; Eur. Patent 393 607; Chem. Abs. (1991), 114, 22874a.
- Enraf-Nonius (1977). CAD-4 Operations Manual. Enraf-Nonius. Delft, The Netherlands.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.

- Marson, C. M., Grabowska, U., Walsgrove, T., Eggleston D. F. & Baurs, P. W. (1994). J. Org. Chem. 59, 284–290.
- Pèpe, G., Reboul, J. P., Brun, P. & Zylber, J. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 729-732.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Toja, E., Gorini, C., Zirotti, C., Barzaghi, F. & Galliani G. (1987). Eur. Patent 229 566.
- Woo, E. P. & Mullins, M. J. (1991). US Patent 4 943 640; Chem. Abstr. (1991), 114, 23798c.



Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1917–1919

6-[1-(4-Ethoxyphenyl)ethyl]-5-methoxy-1,3benzodioxole

PAUL ALA AND DANIEL S. C. YANG

Department of Biochemistry, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8N 3Z5

(Received 14 December 1993; accepted 13 March 1995)

Abstract

The title compound, $C_{18}H_{20}O_4$, is structurally similar to podophyllotoxin. It contains a fused dioxole and phenyl ring system and an unfused phenyl ring which are almost perpendicular to each other. However, this compound lacks the cyclohexyl and lactone rings which are present in podophyllotoxin. In addition, the unfused phenyl ring in podophyllotoxin contains three methoxy groups in the *para* and *meta* positions, whereas the title compound contains only an ethoxy group in the *para* position.

Comment

The title compound, (I), belongs to a series of 6benzyl-1,3-benzodioxole derivatives that are structurally similar to podophyllotoxin and have podophyllotoxinlike antimitotic activity (Batra, Jurd & Hamel, 1985). These derivatives have been used to study structurefunction relationships of podophyllotoxin. For example, tubulin polymerization is inhibited if the 6-benzyl-1,3benzodioxole derivative contains an intact dioxole ring, a methoxy group at the para position of the unfused phenyl ring and a methoxy or ethoxy group at the 5position of the fused rings (Batra et al., 1985). The title compound contains all these key structural features except that the methoxy group at the para position of the unfused phenyl ring is replaced by an ethoxy group, which reduces its potency as an inhibitor of tubulin polymerization by only twofold (Batra et al., 1985).

The structure determination reveals that the fused dioxole and phenyl rings and the unfused phenyl ring are both planar and almost perpendicular to each other. The relative orientation between these two ring systems is likely to be an important factor that enables the derivative to bind tubulin. The only other report of a crystal structure of a 6-benzyl-1,3-benzodioxole derivative ap-



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of the molecular structure of the benzyl-benzodioxole derivative (ellipsoids represent 50% probability).



Fig. 2. *PLUTO* (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978) stereoplot of the packing of the benzyl-benzodioxole derivative.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995